

Asian Research Journal of Agriculture

6(2): 1-13, 2017; Article no.ARJA.35925

ISSN: 2456-561X

Performance and Analysis of F₂ Diallel Cross among Six Faba Bean Genotypes under *Orobanche* Infested Soil at Giza Research Station, Egypt

M. M. F. Abdalla¹, M. M. Shafik¹, Sabah M. Attia² and Hend, A. Ghannam^{2*}

¹Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Egypt. ²Food Legume Research Section, Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Egypt.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. All authors conceived and designed the study, participated in drafting and correcting the manuscript critically and gave the final approval of the version to be published.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARJA/2017/35925

Editor(s).

(1) Tancredo Souza, Centre for Functional Ecology, Department of Life Sciences, University of Coimbra, Portugal.

Reviewers:

(1) Shadrack Kinyua Inoti, Egerton University, Kenya.

(2) Zeynel Dalkiliç, Adnan Menderes University Faculty of Agriculture, Turkey. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/20750

Original Research Article

Received 2nd August 2017 Accepted 21st August 2017 Published 31st August 2017

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out under the naturally infested field of *Orobanche* at Giza Research Station during 2013/2014 growing seasons. F₂ diallel crosses including reciprocals among six faba bean genotypes (Giza 843, Nubaria 1, Cairo 25, Cairo 5, Cairo 33 and Misr 3) were used to study the performance and reaction of genotypes to *Orobanche* and to estimate the magnitude of combining ability and type of gene action using diallel analysis. Analysis of variance indicated highly significant differences among the entries for all studied characters. The results showed that the parents Cairo 25, Cairo 5 and Cairo 33 had negative effects of general combining ability (GCA) for earliness in flowering, while the parents Giza 843 and Cairo 25 had positive GCA effects for plant height. Also, the parental genotypes Cairo 5 and Misr 3 were the best combiners for pods/plant, seeds/plant, seed yield/plant and 100-seed weight. The parental genotype Misr 3 was good combiner for *Orobanche* tolerance. Many crosses had highly significant positive specific combining ability (SCA) effects for most studied traits. The best crosses for SCA effects were (Nubaria 1 x Cairo 5) and (Cairo 25 x Cairo 5) for number of branches/plant, number of pods/plant, number of seeds / plant, seed yield/plant, 100-seed weight, number of *Orobanche* spikes/plot and *Orobanche* spikes dry weight/plot. Also for reciprocals there were many crosses had positive SCA

effects. The crosses (Cairo 5 x Giza 843) possessed reciprocal effects for all studied traits except for days to flowering and *Orobanche* spikes dry weight/plot. GCA/SCA ratios revealed the predominance of additive gene action for days to flowering, 100-seed weight, number of *Orobanche* spikes/plot and *Orobanche* dry weight/plot. Inbreeding improved tolerance to *Orobanche*. This occurred in tolerant x tolerant, tolerant x susceptible and susceptible x susceptible combinations. Selection can be conducted in segregating generations of hybrid and their reciprocals crosses distinguished for the development of promising high yield crops and tolerant genotypes.

Keywords: Faba bean; Orobanche crenata; diallel cross; combining ability; gene action; inbreeding.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Egypt faba bean is considered the most important food legume that provides adequate amount of protein supply in the diet. In addition, the dry seeds contain about 58% carbohydrates, which considered as a good source of energy. Besides its contribution to soil nitrogen fertility through N2-fixation. Crenate broomrape (Orobanche crenata Forsk.) is a holoparasitic weed that attacks legume crops, such as faba bean, lentil, pea, and vetch, but also affects a large number of wild legume species [1,2]. It has been a major constraint for legume production in the Mediterranean and East Asia regions since antiquity [1,3]. Genetic resistance due to the most economical and ecologically sound method of disease control, but little success has been achieved in breeding legumes for broomrape resistance due the scarcity of sources of resistance and the complex inheritance of those available so far [1,4,5]. Thus, there is a great need for development of resistant cultivars and for better understanding of inheritance of resistance. The Food legume breeding program, FCRI, ARC succeeded to release four cultivars of faba bean (Giza 429, Giza 843, Misr 1and Misr 3) having a higher level of resistance to Orobanche [6,7,8,9]. The Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University had released three cultivars (Cairo 25, Cairo 5, Cairo 4) tolerant to Orobanche. The evaluation of tolerant materials under Orobanche -infested and Orobanche-free fields was investigated by [10,4,11,12,13,14]. They concluded that there were significant differences among genotypes for most traits under study. The present investigation aimed to 1) studying the faba bean performance and tolerance of genotypes and hybrids to Orobanche and 2) estimating the magnitude of combining ability and type of gene action using diallel analysis.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out under Orobanche naturally infested soil at Giza Research Station during 2011/12, 2012/13 and 2013/14 growing seasons. A diallel cross including reciprocals among six faba bean genotypes (Giza 843, Nubaria 1, Cairo 25, Cairo 5, Cairo 33 and Misr 3) were done (2011/12). F_1 were grown during 2012/13 to obtain F_2 seeds. Parents and F_2 (and reciprocal F_2 were evaluated under *Orobanche* infestation in 2013/14 season. The pedigree and reaction of the materials used to *Orobanche* are shown in Table 1.

A randomized complete block design (RCBD) with five replications was used. Each ridge (plot) was 3 m long and 60 cm apart. Seeds were sown at one side of ridge at 20 cm distance. Cultural practices were applied as recommended. At harvest ten guarded plants were taken at random from each experimental plot. The following data were recorded: days to flowering, plant height (cm), number of branches /plant, number of pods / plant, number of seeds / plant, seed yield / plant, 100-seed weight, number of *Orobanche* (spikes/plot) and *Orobanche* spikes dry weight (g) /plot.

Significant differences among genotypes were tested by regular analysis of variance of the RCBD according to [15]. The general combining ability (\hat{S}_{ij}) , specific combining ability (\hat{S}_{ij}) and reciprocals (\hat{r}_{ij}) effects along with their respective standard errors (SE) were calculated according to [16] Method 1 Model 1 (fixed effect) assuming that the parents are a fixed set. Genetic components of variation and genetic parameters were estimated according to Hayman [17,18,19].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of statistical analysis expressed as mean squares for the various studied traits and their significance are presented in Table 2. Differences among genotypes were significant (P ≤ 0.01) for all traits, indicating wide genetic variability for these traits in this material and therefore detailed analysis of combining ability could be conducted.

3.1 Performance of Parents and F₂

Mean performance of the parents for all studied traits are presented in Table 3. Results indicate that Cairo 5 (P_4) was the earlier parent (38.40 day). P_1 , P_3 and P_6 (Giza 843, Cairo 25 and Misr 3) were superior for most of studied traits. P_1 (Giza 843) had the tallest plants (104.58 cm). P_1 as well as exhibited significantly the highest number of pods per plant (12.59), number of seeds per plant (33.02) and 100-seed weight (80.30 g).

The mean performance of F₂'s is presented in Tables 3, 4 and 5. Results revealed that eight, five, seven, eight, five, four, seven, thirteen and fifteen crosses had higher means number of days to flowering, plant height (cm), number of branches, number of pods/plant, number of seeds/ plant, seed yield/plant and 100-seed weight, respectively. For number of *Orobanche* spikes per plot and spikes dry weight, thirteen and eleven crosses had lower values, respectively.

The cross P_3 x P_4 significantly exceeded all studied parents and crosses for number of pods/plant (21.30), number of seeds/plant (59.40) and seed yield/plant (48.03 g). The cross P_4 x P_3 significantly was the earliest cross and had the largest number of branches as compared to the rest of genotypes. Meanwhile, one cross (P_6 x P_1) exceeded all genotypes in 100-seed weight with an average of 91.30 (g). One cross (P_3 x P_1) exceeded all crosses and possessed the highest level of infestation with high number and dry weight of *Orobanche* spikes/plot (8.00

and 12.62 g) respectively. These similar results were obtained by [11,13]. Data in Table 5 presented values of No. of *Orobanche* spikes and *Orobanche* spikes dry weight per plot. It is clear from the table that certain crosses differed significantly from their reciprocals. For instance: $P_1 \times P_3$, $P_1 \times P_5$, $P_2 \times P_5$, $P_3 \times P_4$, $P_3 \times P_6$ and $P_4 \times P_6$. This means that maternal and plasmon effects may play a role in effects on *Orobanche*. Consequently the maternal parent of the cross should be considered as a donor of its effects on *Orobanche*.

3.2 Effect of *Orobanche* Parasitism

Concerning effects of Orobanche, data in Table 6 showed the relative seed yield per plant of materials grown in the Orobanche plots relative to sister ones in neighbouring healthy plots. It is observed from Table 6 that the tolerant parents to Orobanche produced relative seed yield which varied from 55.71% (Cairo 5) to 71.39% (Misr 3). The F₂ hybrids varied widely. Relative yield differed from 17.64% (P2 x P4 which is a product of susceptible x tolerant parent) to 116.13% (P3 x P₄ a product of tolerant x tolerant parent). Due to inheritance of Orobanche tolerance the (polygenic recessive) in faba bean [10]. Inbreeding is expected to improve Orobanche tolerance. This situation is observed not only in tolerant x tolerant and susceptible x tolerant combinations but also occurred in susceptible x susceptible parents. This means that tolerant genotypes may be secured from segregants of susceptible parents. Such situation was anticipated and discussed by [20].

Table 1. Origin, pedigree and some features of parental genotypes

Parent	Origin	Pedigree	Characters
Giza 843 (P1)	FCRI*	Cross 461 x Cross 561	Early flowering and maturity, tolerant
Giza 043 (F I)	ron	C1055 401 X C1055 301	to Orobanche and resistant to foliar diseases
Nubaria1 (P2)	FCRI*	Selected from Giza Blanca	Large seeded type, with colorless hilum, resistant to foliar diseases and late flowering and maturity and susceptible to <i>Orobanche</i>
Cairo 5 (P3)	ADFACU**	Synthetic variety	Medium seeds, tolerant to Orobanche
Cairo 25 (P4)	ADFACU**	Synthetic variety	Medium seeds, tolerant to Orobanche
Cairo 33 (P5)	ADFACU**	Individual selection from program	Medium seeds, colorless hilums
Misr3 (P6)	FCRI*	L-667x (Cairo241x Giza 461)	Medium seeds, tolerant to Orobanche

^{*}FCRI= Field Crops Research Institute, Agric. Res. Center, Egypt **ADFACU= Agron. Dept., Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Giza, Egypt

Table 2. Significance of mean squares due to various sources of variation for the studied characters in *Orobanch*e infested field in 2013/2014 season

S.O.V.	d.f	Days to flowering	Plant height	Branches/ plant	Pods/plant	Seeds/plant	Seed yield/ plant	100-seed weight	Orobanche spikes/plot	Orobanche spikes dry weight/plot
Genotypes	35	35.40**	691**	3.37**	3.00**	10.47**	7.42**	17.02**	0.71**	9.50**
GCA	5	17.72**	77.93**	0.17**	0.78**	2.65**	1.85**	5.93**	0.27**	2.44**
SCA	15	5.17**	179.48**	0.93**	0.84**	3.11**	2.23**	5.70**	0.11*	1.71*
Reciprocals	15	5.45**	117.08**	0.59**	0.30**	0.89**	0.62**	0.27**	0.13**	1.91**
Error	70	0.76	22.25	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.86
GCA/SCA		3.43	0.43	0.19	0.93	0.85	0.06	1.04	2.50	1.43

^{*} and ** indicate significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively

Table 3. Mean performance of parents and F_{2s} for the traits, days to flowering, plant height and number of branches/plant during 2013/2014 season in *Orobanche* infested field

Genotypes	Flowering date (day)	Plant height (cm)	Branches/ plant
		Parents	
P ₁ (Giza 843)	42.60	104.58	3.35
P ₂ (Nubaria 1)	49.20	54.40	2.70
P ₃ (Cairo 25)	40.00	92.33	3.47
P ₄ (Cairo 5)	38.40	82.82	3.01
P ₅ (Cairo33)	41.60	64.00	3.33
P ₆ (Misr 3)	43.60	71.52	4.32

		F	2 crosses			
	F ₂	Reciprocals	F ₂	Reciprocals	F ₂	Reciprocals
P_1xP_2	45.20	43.80	86.86	107.25	3.09	4.32
P_1xP_3	43.60	48.40	103.73	78.99	4.77	5.08
P_1xP_4	44.80	45.00	92.32	73.67	4.00	3.19
P_1xP_5	44.40	41.20	101.33	83.33	5.16	5.43
P_1xP_6	47.80	49.00	101.02	70.82	4.04	3.22
P_2xP_3	46.00	44.40	93.07	83.10	3.28	4.97
P_2xP_4	41.20	47.00	86.83	98.09	4.73	5.40
P_2xP_5	47.40	44.40	87.00	95.50	3.80	3.74
P_2xP_6	43.40	46.20	91.40	85.93	5.62	3.74
P_3xP_4	43.00	39.40	91.83	88.36	3.65	6.12
P_3xP_5	42.40	42.00	91.38	91.60	4.27	3.95
P_3xP_6	46.20	42.40	106.64	87.03	3.98	5.03
P_4xP_5	43.20	44.40	88.43	96.59	4.13	4.78
P_4xP_6	46.60	40.60	100.44	90.53	4.48	4.23
P_5xP_6	42.60	43.40	95.42	98.71	3.94	4.03
LSD 0.05		4.94		21.50		1.50

Table 4. Mean performance of parents and F_{2s} for the traits, pods/plant, seeds/plant and seed yield/plant during 2013/2014 season in *Orobanch*e infested field

Genotypes	Pods/plant	Seeds/plant	Seed yield/ plant (g)
		Parents	
P ₁ (Giza 843)	12.59	33.02	26.43
P ₂ (Nubaria 1)	0.00	0.00	0.00
P ₃ (Cairo 25)	10.40	29.66	20.84
P ₄ (Cairo 5)	11.91	30.81	23.70
P ₅ (Cairo33)	0.00	0.00	1.07
P ₆ (Misr 3)	12.59	33.02	26.43

1 6(141131 0)		12.00		00.02		20.40		
		F	₂ crosses					
	F ₂	Reciprocals	F ₂	Reciprocals	F ₂	Reciprocals		
P_1xP_2	10.46	15.46	34.07	45.02	28.65	32.64		
P_1xP_3	7.15	8.38	24.31	25.09	14.24	21.83		
P_1xP_4	14.37	6.78	46.46	20.70	40.07	13.01		
P_1xP_5	9.69	12.00	26.61	35.92	21.98	26.50		
P_1xP_6	13.83	9.28	39.75	28.48	30.45	25.54		
P_2xP_3	13.92	12.32	42.39	40.93	27.66	25.77		
P_2xP_4	2.92	14.03	9.64	47.70	7.66	41.60		
P_2xP_5	11.95	12.21	44.83	36.32	40.13	25.98		
P_2xP_6	8.37	15.58	25.68	43.35	20.20	29.78		
P_3xP_4	21.30	15.79	59.40	38.76	48.03	27.33		
P_3xP_5	12.78	7.87	43.99	23.66	28.59	16.20		
P_3xP_6	11.24	8.85	34.78	30.33	22.41	25.87		
P_4xP_5	14.82	9.55	40.93	26.80	25.35	16.17		
P_4xP_6	15.53	10.88	53.07	40.38	32.71	23.86		
P_5xP_6	16.52	12.52	44.24	37.60	28.91	26.90		
LSD 0.05		3.47		2.56		2.22		

Table 5. Mean performance of parents and F_{2's} for the traits, 100-seed weight, *Orobanche* spike/plot and *Orobanche* spike dry weight/plot during 2013/2014 season in *Orobanche* infested field

	100-seed weight(g)	-seed weight(g) No. of <i>Orobanche</i> spikes/plant		
		Parents		
P ₁ (Giza 843)	80.30	3.17	4.14	
P ₂ (Nubaria 1)	0.00	6.72	7.17	
P ₃ (Cairo 25)	71.26	8.43	13.43	
P ₄ (Cairo 5)	77.16	7.71	9.49	
P ₅ (Cairo33)	0.00	5.60	5.29	
P ₆ (Misr 3)	80.30	4.83	9.29	

1 6(101131 3)		00.00	3.23			
		F ₂	crosses			
	F ₂	Reciprocals	F ₂	Reciprocals	F ₂	Reciprocals
P_1xP_2	84.35	72.97	3.43	5.60	2.86	5.00
P_1xP_3	58.62	87.66	5.66	6.24	12.86	4.76
P_1xP_4	87.38	62.96	5.07	7.61	8.93	9.40
P_1xP_5	82.63	73.67	6.46	3.48	7.86	3.57
P_1xP_6	77.72	91.30	3.32	6.24	6.94	8.33
P_2xP_3	65.47	63.02	5.06	7.62	7.29	9.43
P_2xP_4	79.04	87.22	5.40	3.01	6.57	3.20
P_2xP_5	88.83	71.58	6.97	3.06	7.43	4.71
P_2xP_6	78.86	68.77	3.11	4.32	4.86	5.51
P_3xP_4	80.87	70.78	3.82	9.82	6.51	10.71
P_3xP_5	65.93	70.05	4.73	3.83	6.69	4.91
P_3xP_6	64.82	85.27	3.59	8.00	7.29	12.62
P_4xP_5	62.48	60.26	2.80	6.00	4.07	6.57
P_4xP_6	63.09	59.65	2.00	5.23	3.14	6.46
P_5xP_6	66.46	71.08	3.11	3.62	5.23	6.67
LSD 0.05		3.38		0.69		2.52

3.3 General Combining Ability

The estimates of the general combining ability " \hat{g} i" effects are presented in Table 7. The three parents Cairo 25, Cairo 5 and Cairo 33 had negative effects of \hat{g}_i indicating their contribution for earliness in flowering, while the parents Giza 843 and Cairo 25 had positive values for plant height. Also, the parental genotypes Cairo 5 and Misr 3 were the best combiners for pods/plant, seeds/plant, seed yield/plant and 100-seed weight with the highest positive (desirable) " \hat{g}_i " effects.

In general, wide variation could be observed among studied genotypes for their combining ability effects for various traits. The general combining ability is defined as the average performance of line in hybrid combination [21]. Therefore, the superior faba bean parents in their GCA effects (significant and positive) are favorable for inclusion in the development of a synthetic variety.

3.4 Specific Combining Ability

The results of the specific combining ability effects are presented in (Table 8). Three combinations: ($P_1 \times P_2$, $P_1 \times P_5$ and $P_2 \times P_3$); eight, six, nine, ten, eight, nine, three and three crosses exhibited significant SCA effects for days to flowering, plant height, number of branches/plant, number of pods/plant, number of seeds/plant, seed yield /plant, and 100-seed weight, number of *Orobanche* spikes per plot, respectively.

Effects of SCA for seed yield seemed to be influenced by SCA effects for yield components. It is evident from the results that only some components of yield are more important for yield expression. The components may compete for metabolic substrates produced by the plant and conditions which favor the development of one component that could have an adverse effect on other components [22,23].

Table 6. Seed yield/plant of parent and F₂ of materials grown in *Orobanche* filed relative to sister materials grown in healthy field

Genotypes	Relative yield (%)	Genotypes	Relative yield (%)	Genotypes	Relative yield (%)	Genotypes	Relative yield (%)	Genotypes	Relative yield (%)	Genotypes	Relative yield (%)
Giza 843 (P ₁)	65.08	P_1xP_2	69.83	P_2xP_4	17.64	P_4xP_5	71.21	P ₅ xP ₁	67.57	P_4xP_3	51.98
Nubaria 1 (P ₂)	0.00	P_1xP_3	35.82	P_2xP_5	90.10	P_4xP_6	86.08	P_6xP_1	92.91	P_5xP_3	37.84
Cairo 25 (P ₃)	55.71	P_1xP_4	96.55	P_2xP_6	39.87	P_5xP_6	76.30	P_3xP_2	58.42	P_6xP_3	51.09
Cairo 5 (P ₄)	61.49	P_1xP_5	46.99	P_3xP_4	116.13	P_2xP_1	84.08	P_4xP_2	90.08	P_5xP_4	39.17
Cairo33 (P ₅)	0.00	P_1xP_6	76.07	P_3xP_5	88.08	$P_3 x P_1$	56.66	$P_5 x P_2$	55.80	P_6xP_4	48.89
Misr 3 (P ₆)	71.39	P_2xP_3	65.59	P_3xP_6	40.91	P_4xP_1	39.44	P_6xP_2	78.37	P_6xP_5	43.68

Table 7. Estimates of the general combining ability effects (g_i) of parental lines in the F₂ crosses for studied traits (2013/2014) season in *Orobanche* infested field

Genotypes	Days to flowering	Plant height	Branches/ plant	Pods/plant	Seeds/plant	Seed yield/ plant	100-seed weight	Orobanche spikes/plot	Orobanche spikes dry weight/plot
					GCA effects				
Giza 843 (P ₁)	0.09	3.02**	-0.10*	0.06*	0.07*	0.16**	0.61**	-0.02	-0.23
Nubaria 1 (P ₂)	2.28**	-4.04**	-0.17**	-0.29**	-0.49**	-0.35**	-0.77**	0.02	-0.34*
Cairo 25 (P ₃)	-0.89**	2.34*	0.16**	-0.03	-0.02	-0.05	0.41**	0.18**	0.80**
Cairo 5 (P ₄)	-0.61**	0.04	0.05	0.20**	0.39**	0.27**	0.32**	0.05	0.15
Cairo33 (P ₅)	-1.01**	-1.25	-0.02	-0.28**	-0.57**	-0.53**	-1.02**	0.04	0.05
Misr 3 (P ₆)	0.13	-0.11	0.08*	0.34**	0.62**	0.51**	0.45**	-0.28**	-0.43*
S.E. for									
g _i	0.23	1.24	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.24
g _i -g _i	0.36	1.93	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.38

^{*} and ** indicates significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively

Table 8. Estimates of the specific combining ability effects (Sij) of F2 crosses for studied traits (2013/2014) season in Orobanche infested field

Crosses	Days to flowering	Plant height	Branches/ plant	Pods/plant	Seeds/plant	Seed yield /plant	100-seed weight	<i>Orobanche</i> spikes/plot	Orobanche spikes dry weight/plot
P_1xP_2	-1.89*	8.72**	-0.21*	0.27**	0.59**	0.11	0.15	-0.20*	-0.55
P_1xP_3	2.77**	-3.35*	0.68**	0.03	0.19*	0.55**	0.20*	0.03	0.11
P_1xP_4	1.19*	-9.42**	-0.54*8	-0.66**	-1.26**	-1.11**	-0.55**	0.20*	1.20*
P_1xP_5	-1.91**	1.21	1.23**	0.52**	0.98**	0.84**	0.95*	0.40**	1.18*
$P_1 x P_6$	1.46**	-6.34*	-0.53**	-0.29**	-0.43**	-0.36**	-0.37**	-0.11	-0.46
P_2xP_3	-0.81*	0.42	-0.04	-0.29**	-0.37**	-0.49**	0.63**	0.23*	0.52
P_2xP_4	1.71**	7.11**	1.01**	0.41**	1.23**	1.60**	1.69**	-0.20*	-0.73*
$P_2^{-}xP_5$	0.61	7.18**	-0.22*	0.50**	0.95**	0.84**	2.33**	-0.08	-0.04
P_2xP_6	-0.23	3.45*	0.59**	0.93**	1.35**	1.17**	0.84*	-0.06	-0.25
P_3xP_4	0.17	-1.64	0.50**	0.30**	0.38**	0.22*	-0.60**	-0.26*	-1.11*
P_3xP_5	0.57	1.04	-0.21*	0.12*	0.30**	0.13	0.69**	-0.14	-0.51
P_3xP_6	-0.46	5.24*	0.09	-0.18**	-0.31**	-0.25*	-0.42**	-0.10	-0.38
$P_4^{"}xP_5^{"}$	1.29**	4.36*	0.25*	0.32**	0.73**	0.30**	0.42**	0.04	0.50
P_4xP_6	0.06	6.20*	0.05	-0.15*	-0.20*	-0.50**	-0.96**	0.11	-0.94*
$P_5 x P_6$	-0.14	9.07**	-0.25*	0.40**	0.64**	0.45**	0.76**	0.11	0.02
S.E. for									
S_{ij}	0.52	2.83	0.12	0.07	0.11	0.11	0.15	0.14	0.56
$S_{ij}^{"}-S_{ik}$	0.80	4.31	0.19	0.10	0.17	0.17	0.23	0.22	0.84
S _{ii} -S _{kl}	0.87	3.85	0.17	0.09	0.15	0.15	0.21	0.20	0.76

^{*} and ** indicates significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively

Table 9. Estimates of specific reciprocal combining ability effects (R_{ij}) of parental lines in the F₂ crosses for studied traits (2013/2014) in Orobanche infested field

Crosses	Flowering date	Plant height	Branches/ plant	Pods/plant	Seeds/plant	Seed yield/ plant	100-seed weight	<i>Orobanche</i> spikes/plant	Orobanche spikes dry weight/plant
P_2xP_1	0.70	-10.20**	-0.61**	-0.60**	-0.87**	-0.95**	-0.44**	-0.21	0.52
P_3xP_1	-2.40**	12.37**	-0.16	0.42**	0.88**	0.81**	0.002	-0.02	2.08
P_4xP_1	-0.30	9.33**	0.40**	0.24**	0.29*	0.53**	0.59**	-0.24*	-0.18
P_5xP_1	0.00	9.00**	-0.14	0.12*	0.15*	0.19*	0.11	-0.09	-0.33
P_6xP_1	-3.30**	15.10**	0.41**	0.33**	0.58**	0.10	-0.72**	0.06	1.33
P_3xP_2	0.20	4.99*	-0.85**	-0.83**	-1.60**	-1.12**	0.44**	-0.40**	-0.67
P_4xP_2	0.40	-5.63*	-0.33**	-0.14*	-0.11	-0.08	0.03	0.19	0.82
$P_5 x P_2$	1.50**	-4.25*	0.03	-0.28**	-0.47**	-0.29*	0.22	0.41**	0.77
P_6xP_2	0.00	2.74	0.94**	0.33**	0.56**	0.74**	0.36*	-0.10	-0.24
$P_4^{"}xP_3^{"}$	3.30**	1.74	-1.24**	-0.19**	0.20*	0.06	-0.15	-0.18	0.27
$P_5 x P_3$	0.70	-0.11	0.16	0.26**	0.52**	0.35**	-0.15	-0.20	-0.66
P_6xP_3	0.40	9.81**	-0.53**	0.42**	0.44**	-0.02	-0.66**	-0.07	0.50
$P_5 x P_4$	-0.70	-4.08	-0.32*	0.40**	1.03**	0.83**	0.07	0.52**	-2.00
P_6xP_4	3.00**	4.96*	0.13	0.37**	0.14	0.24**	0.22	0.40**	-1.08
$P_6^{\circ} x P_5$	-0.40	-1.64	-0.04	0.21**	0.22*	0.10	-0.12	0.07	-0.36
S.E. for									
R_{ii}	0.62	3.34	0.15	0.08	0.13	0.13	0.18	0.17	0.65
$R_{ii}^{"}-R_{ki}$	0.87	4.72	0.21	0.11	0.18	0.18	0.25	0.24	0.93

^{*} and ** indicates insignificant, significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively

Table 10. Estimates of genetic parameters for studied traits in F₂ diallel crosses (2013/2014) season in Orobanche infested field

parameters	Flowering date	Plant height (cm)	Branches/ plant	Pods/plant	Seeds/plant	Seed yield/ plant (g)	100-seed weight (g)	<i>Orobanche</i> spikes/plot	Orobanche spikes dry weight/plot (g)
D	13.44**	342.54**	0.28*	1.68**	5.94**	4.16**	16.63**	0.09**	2.58**
F	10.43**	446.67**	0.44*	2.22**	7.81**	5.41**	21.95**	0.08*	3.64**
H ₁	11.94**	451.38**	1.98**	2.47**	8.92**	6.27**	18.63**	0.20**	4.00**
H_2	9.23*	303.18**	1.78**	1.67**	6.17**	4.41**	11.34**	0.13**	2.31*
H_2 h^2	8.17	577.87**	2.58**	2.22**	10.11**	5.45**	14.66**	0.11**	0.62
E	0.55**	3.38	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04**	0.55**
(H1/D) 1/2	1.45	1.15	2.65	1.21	1.22	1.23	1.06	1.45	1.25
$(H_2/4H_1)$	0.17	0.17	0.22	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.15	0.17	0.14
KD/KR	1.88	3.63	1.82	3.40	3.31	3.25	4.32	1.88	3.61
h(n.s)	0.34	0.22	0.05	0.23	0.22	0.21	0.26	0.34	0.22
Ϋ́D	2.48	-62.93	3.22	1.85	1.14	1.71	1.13	2.48	6.27
Yr	2.80	586.71	3.65	5.44	14.10	10.42	28.34	2.80	10.00
R	0.06	-0.83	-0.91	-0.98	-0.99	-0.97	-1.00	0.06	-0.09
t ²	0.05	0.83	3.64	0.02	0.08	0.03	8.86	0.05	0.82
b	0.78	0.81	0.27	0.87	0.89	0.85	0.77	0.78	0.45

^{*} and ** indicates significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively

3.5 Reciprocal Cross Differences

Data presented in Tables 3, 4, 5 indicated the presence of certain cross-reciprocal differences between some F2 and their crosses. The estimates of significant differences in reciprocal crosses for significant cases are presented in Table 9. These differences occurred in two, seven, three, ten, ten, six, three and two crosses possessed significant or highly significant positive and negative reciprocals effects for plant height, number of branches/plant, number of pods/plant, number of seeds/plant, seed yield /plant, 100-seed weight and number of Orobanche spikes per plot, respectively. Such reciprocal cross differences will impose the direction of the cross in order to benefit from maternal and plasmon effects. Similar findings were reported by other authors [24,25,26].

3.6 Genetic Parameters

Estimates of genetic and environmental components of variance and other derived parameters from Hayman analysis are given in Table 10.

The additive genetic variance (D) was significant ($P \le 0.01$ or ≤ 0.05) for all studied traits, indicating that additive effect seemed to be important in the inheritance of these traits. Therefore, selection for these traits in segregating generations would be effective.

The component of variation due to the dominance effects of genes (H₁) was highly significant for all studied traits, indicating the presence of dominance with a symmetrical gene distribution in the parents for all studied traits.

Also (H_2) components was significant ($P \le 0.01$ or ≤ 0.05) for all traits indicating the importance of dominance effects controlling the all studied traits. Since "D" was lower than " H_1 " and " H_2 " for all traits except for plant height, suggesting that the dominance genetic variance was more important. H_1 was greater than H_2 indicating that positive and negative alleles at the loci were not equal in proportion in the parents.

All estimates of environmental variance (E) were insignificant, except for days to flowering, number of *Orobanche* spikes per plant and *Orobanche* spikes dry weight (g), indicating that most traits have been greatly affected by environmental factors except the two mentioned traits.

Dominance variance over all heterozygous loci (h_2) was highly significant for all studied traits, except for spikes dry weight/plant. Significant values of (h_2) indicates the prevalent of dominance effect a cross all loci in all crosses, while insignificant values indicate the absence of dominance effect across all loci in the heterozygotes and that could be due to the presence of considerable amount of canceling dominant effects in the parental lines.

Heritability in narrow sense is an indicator of the efficiency of selection for identifying the best genotypes. Heritability in narrow sense was ranged from 0.05% for number of branches to 34% for flowering date and Orobanche spikes/plot (Table 9). This is an indicator for the importance of non-additive genetic variance in the inheritance of these traits. Therefore, it could be concluded from [17] analysis and combining ability analysis that selection procedures which are known to be effective in shifting gene frequency when both additive and non-additive genetic variation are involved would be successful in improving most traits under examination. This conclusion agreed with that reported by [27,28,29,30].

4. CONCLUSION

The studied parents proved to be useful to be utilized in improvement of faba bean. Selection can be conducted in segregating generations of hybrid and their reciprocals crosses distinguished for the development of promising high yield crops and tolerant genotypes. Breeding strategy of faba bean for *Orobanche* tolerance necessitates developing faba bean varieties of heterogenous nature to tolerate *Orobanche* parsitizm. The breeders have to consider this high genetic variation in *O. crenata* when they breed faba bean for tolerance/resistance to *Orobanche*. Therefore, breeders have to produce synthetic varieties instead of pure lines.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Cubero JI, Pieterse AH, Khalil SA, Sauerborn J. Screening techniques and sources of resistance to parasitic angiosperms. Euphytica. 1994;73:51-58.
- Rubiales D, Sillero JC, Román MB, Moreno MT, Fondevilla S, Pérez-de-

- Luque A, Cubero JI, Zermane N, Kharrat M, Khalil S. Management of broomrape in Mediterranean agriculture. in: Legumes: Grain Legumes in the Mediterranean Agriculture. Association Européenne de recherche sur les Protéagineux, Paris, France. 2002;67-73.
- 3. Parker C. Protection of crops against parasitic weeds. Crop Prot. 1994;10:6-22.
- Abdalla MMF, Darwish DS. Faba bean breeding in Egypt for tolerance to Orobanche: A review. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 2002;6(1):143-160.
- 5. Rubiales D. Resistance against parasitic weeds. New Phytol. 2003;160:455-462.
- Khalil SA, Saber HA, El-Sherbeeny MH, El-Hady MM, Saleeb SR. Present state of breeding for *Orobanche* resistance in Egypt. In: Biology and management of Orobanhce and related Striga Research, (eds. A.H. Pieteese. J.A.C. Verkleij and S.J.ter Borg). Amsterdam, The Netherlands. 1994:455-464.
- Saber HA, Omar MA, El-Hady MM, Samia 7. A. Mahmoud, Abou-Zeid NM, Radi MM. Performance of newly faba bean line (X-843) resistant to Orobanche in Egypt. In: J. Kroschel; M. Abderabit; H. BETZ (Eds.), Advances in parasitic Weed Control at onfarm level. Joint action to control Orobanche in the WANA Region Experiences from Morocco. Rabat. Morocco. MargefVerlag. Weikersheim, Germany, 1999;2:227-237.
- Saber HA, Hassan MZ, El-Hady MM, Omar MA, Hussein AH, Amer MI, Essa MS, Sabah A, Shalaby FH. Misr 1, a new Orobanche resistant faba bean cultivar. The second International Conf. Sustainable Agric. for food and industry. Beision, China; 2002.
- Attia Sabah M, El-Hady MM, Saber HA, Omer MA, Khalil SA, Mahmoud Samia A, Ashrei AAM, Abd-Elrahman Rehab AM, Ibrahim MAM, Ghareeb Zeinab E, El-Marsafawy TS, El-Harty EH, El-Emam EAA, Shalaby FH, Helal AG, El-Garhy AM, Rabie EM, Abdeen M, El-Noby M, Yamani Kh. MM, Abd El-Aal HT, Ibrahim MA, Abo Mostafa RA, El-Rodeny W, Morsy KM, Mahmoud Noher A, El-Sayed Azza F, Ghannam Hend A. Misr 3, a new Orobanche tolerant faba bean variety. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 2013;17(6):143– 152.
- 10. Darwish DS, Abdalla MMF, El-Metwally EA, El-Sherbeeny MH, Sabah M. Attia.

- Investigations on faba beans, *Vicia faba* L. 13-Performance of some faba bean genotypes and their hybrids under *Orobanche* infestation. Proceed. First Pl. Breed. Conf. Dec. 4 (Giza). Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 1999;3:231-246.
- Morsy Samaya M, Attia Sabah M. Effect of Orobanche parasitism on yield and some technological characters of faba bean. Egypt J. Appl. Sci. 2002;17(5):306-322.
- Darwish DS, Abdalla MMF, Omar MA, Abo-Hegazy SRE, El-Marsafawy TAA. Investigations on faba beans, Vicia faba L. 23-Genetic analysis of Orobanche tolerance/resistance. Proceed. Fifth Pl. Breed. Conf. Giza. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 2007;11(2):953-967. (Special Issue)
- Abbes Z, Kharrat M, Delavault P, Simier P, Chaibi W. Field evaluation of the resistance of some faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) genotypes to the parasitic weed *Orobanche* foetida Poiret. Crop Protection. 2007;26:1777-1784.
- Abdalla MMF, Darwish DS. Investigations on faba bean, Vicia faba L. 24. Cairo 4, Cairo 5 and Cairo 25, new varieties tolerante to Orobanche. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 2008;12(1):315-320.
- Gomez AK, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for Agriculture Research (2nd ed.). John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York; 1976
- Griffing B. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing system. Aust. J. Biol. Sci. 1956;9: 463-403
- Hayman BL. The theory and analysis of diallel crosses. Genetics. 1954a;39:789-809.
- Hayman BL. The analysis of variance of diallel tables. Biometrics. 1954b;10:235-244
- Jinks JL. The analysis of continuous variation in a diallel cross of *Nicotiana* rustica varieties. Genetics. 1954;39:767-788.
- Abdalla MMF. Could uniform resistance be generated? Stimulative speculations. Euphatica. 1971;20:427-429.
- Sprague GF, Tatum LA. General vs. specific combining ability in single crosses of corn. J. Amer. Soc. Agron. 1942;34: 923-932.
- 22. Abo El-Zahab AA, Khalil SA, El-Hinnawy HH, El-Hady MM. Genetic aspects of seed yield and its components and earliness in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). I- Combining

- ability. Proc. 16th Conf. Agron. Al-Azhar Univ., Cairo, Egypt. 1994;2:693-716.
- 23. Attia Sabah M, Sh. Said M, Zakia M. Ezzat, Kh. A. Aly. Heterosis, combining ability and gene action in crosses among six faba bean genotypes. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 2002;6(2):191-210.
- Abdalla MMF, Shafik MM, Sabah M. Attia, Hend A. Ghannam. Investigations on faba bean, Vicia faba L. 26- Genetic analysis of earliness characters and yield components. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 2011a; 15(3):71-83.
- Abdalla MMF, Shafik MM, Sabah M. Attia, Hend A. Ghannam. Combining ability, heterosis and inbreeding effects in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 2017;15(5):1-13.
- Ghareeb Zeinab E, Fares WM. Modified model for assessment of maternal effects

- in first generation of faba bean. Annals of Agricultural Science. 2016;61(1):77–85.
- Attia Sabah M, Salem Manal M. Analysis of yield and its components using diallel mating among five parents of faba bean. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 2006;10(1):1-12.
- 28. Geren H, Alan O. Evaluation of heritability and correlation for seed yield and its components in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Journal of Agriculture. 2007;6(3):484-487.
- Algamdi SS. Genetic behavior of some selected faba bean genotypes. African Crop Science Conference Proceeding. 2007;8:709-714.
- 30. El-Hady MM, Attia Sabah M, El-Emam EAA, Ashrei AAM, El-Marsafawy TAA. Performance of some faba bean genotypes and their hybrids. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor. 2009;47(4):275-283.

© 2017 Abdalla et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/20750